
Swing Weights of Baseball and Softball Bats

Dan Russell, Kettering University, Flint, MI

Baseball and softball bats are sold according to length in inches and weight in ounces.¹ Much to the consternation of players buying new bats, however, not all bats that weigh the same swing the same. The reason for this has to do with moment of inertia of the bat about a pivot point on the handle, or what the sporting goods industry refers to as *swing weight*.²⁻³ A number of recent field studies⁴⁻⁷ have confirmed that the speed with which a player can swing a baseball or softball bat depends more on the bat's moment of inertia than on its mass. In this paper we investigate the moment of inertia (swing weight) of a variety of baseball and softball bats.

Figure 1 shows the knob sticker of an older softball bat advertising the actual weight as being 23-oz while its *swing weight* is 28-oz. Indeed, when swung this bat



Fig. 2. The knob of a 1997 Dudley Fusion slow-pitch softball bat listing the both the swing weight and the actual weight.



Fig. 1. Two slow-pitch softball bats of the same length and weight, but very different balance points (centers-of-mass).

appears to have more inertia than several heavier 30-oz softball bats in our collection. However, labeling the swing weight as 28-oz is rather nebulous since this bat demonstrates that weight does not equate to inertia during the swing. What does a 28-oz bat swing like?

Our bat collection also includes two 34-in, 30-oz slow-pitch softball bats, one a graphite bat and the other an end-loaded aluminum bat. As shown in Fig. 2 the balance points (center of mass) for these two bats differ by almost 5 inches. As a result, their moments of inertia, about a pivot point in the handle, are very different. Even though they weigh the same, these two bats do not at all appear to have the same inertial properties when swung.

Measuring at Bat's Moment of Inertia

Baseball and softball bat manufacturers and governing associations define *swing weight* by treating

the bat as a physical pendulum and measuring the moment of inertia about a pivot point 6-inches from the knob end of the handle.⁸ The period of oscillation of a physical pendulum is given by

$$T = 2\pi\sqrt{\frac{I}{mgd}} \quad , \quad (1)$$

where I is the moment of inertia about the pivot point, m is the mass of the bat, g is the acceleration due to gravity, and d is the distance from the pivot point to the center of mass. The center of mass (bat manufacturers call it the balance point) is measured from the knob end of the handle and is officially measured⁷ using two scales and a stand that supports the bat at two locations, 6-in and 24-in from the knob, as shown in Fig. 3. The sum of the two scale readings is the total mass, while the balance point is determined from the two scale readings

$$CM = \frac{6W_6 + 24W_{24}}{W_6 + W_{24}} \quad , \quad (2)$$

though it could also be determined as the point where the bat balances on a small circular tube.



Fig. 3. Apparatus for measuring the weight and balance point of a softball or baseball bat.

Knowing the mass, the distance from the pivot point to the center of mass, and the period of oscillation, the moment of inertia about the pivot point is easily determined from Eq. (1). Figure 4 shows the simple apparatus we use in our laboratory to measure the moment of inertia. The bat is gripped at the 6-inch point in a knife-edge pivot apparatus and the period is measured with a CPO Time II photogate timing system.⁹ The pivot assembly is easily calibrated by measuring the moment of inertia of a steel or aluminum cylindrical rod and comparing measured and theoretical values to determine the moment of inertia of the pivot assembly itself. Our pivot assembly has a moment of inertia of 150 oz-in².



Fig. 4. Apparatus for measuring the period of oscillation for a softball bat pivoted about a point 6-inches from the end of the handle.

Moments of Inertia for a Variety of Bats

Table I shows measurements of bat weight, balance point, and moment of inertia about the 6-inch point on the handle for a variety of commercially available aluminum and composite slow-pitch softball bats, all with the same lengths and similar diameter profiles. The first four bats in the table, S1-S4, have nearly the same weight (30 oz) but with different balance points the moments of inertia vary considerably. The bats with larger moments of inertia are end-loaded models and are noticeably harder to swing. Bat pairs S5-S6 and S7-S8 have different masses and balance points, but the same moments of inertia. As a result, the bats within each pair swing about the same. It should be possible to design two bats with the same mass and moment of inertia but different balance points. Unfortunately, we were unable to find such a pair in our collection of more than 100 softball bats.

Table I. A sampling of several 34-inch slow-pitch softball bats comparing combinations of weight, balance point, and moment of inertia about the 6-inch point on the handle.

Softball Bat	Length (in)	Weight (oz)	BP (in)	MOI ₆ (oz-in ²)
S1	34	30.2	23.3	12143
S2	34	30.0	22.3	11007
S3	34	30.1	19.4	9154
S4	34	30.2	18.5	8436
S5	34	25.2	22.7	9487
S6	34	28.3	21.0	9461
S7	34	27.9	19.5	8193
S8	34	25.8	20.9	8195
S9	34	31.7	22.4	11268

Bat S9 is a wood softball bat, which is somewhat of a rarity since almost all softball players exclusively use aluminum or composite bat. However, it does provide a comparison of moment of inertia for wood and non-wood bats. Table I clearly shows that there are some non-wood slow-pitch softball bats with equal or greater moments of inertia than a wood bat of the same length.

Table II lists measurements for several adult baseball bats of various shapes and materials as shown in Fig. 5. Bat B1 is a MLB quality 34-inch ash bat, and its moment of inertia is pretty typical for adult wood baseball bats of this length. Bat B2 is a replica of a “bottle bat” used by Heinie Groh, who played third base for the Cincinnati Reds from 1913-1921. This



Fig. 5. Wood, aluminum, and composite adult baseball bats with different profiles, corresponding to data in Table II.

replica is made from ash, and is probably at least 6-oz lighter than the hickory stick Groh used. Bat B3 has a 3-inch knob, moving the grip position toward the barrel, and bringing the balance point closer to the hands. Bats like this are sometimes used to help players develop better wrist control and swing mechanics. Bat B4 is a laminated bamboo wood barrel attached to an aluminum handle. Bats B5 and B6 are 33-inch aluminum and composite bats, respectively. Bat B7 is an aluminum bat with a vibration reducing mass-spring mechanism in the knob. The concentration of weight in the knob moves the balance point toward the handle and lowers the moment of inertia.

Unfortunately our bat collection doesn't include a 33-in wood baseball bat or a 34-in aluminum bat to make a direct comparison between wood and non-wood baseball bats of the same length. However, the spread in weights and moments of inertia for bats of the same length is not as great for baseball bats as it is for softball bats. The NCAA requires that baseball bats exceed a minimum moment of inertia and that the weight in ounces cannot be more than three digits less than the length in inches. There is no such restriction for softball bats or youth baseball bats.

Table II. A sampling of 34-inch wood baseball bats and 33-inch aluminum or composite bats comparing combinations of weight, balance point, and moment of inertia about the 6-in point on the handle.

Baseball Bat	Length (in)	Weight (oz)	BP (in)	MOI ₆ (oz-in ²)
B1	34	31.2	22.8	11239
B2	34	36.5	22.4	12283
B3	34	37.3	20.3	11836
B4	34	31.9	21.2	10127
B5	33	31.4	19.9	9325
B6	33	31.0	20.4	9590
B7	33	30.5	19.3	8664

Figure 6 shows several 30-in youth baseball bats, used for play in Little League, with the corresponding inertia data in Table III. Bats Y1-Y3 are the same make and model wood bats, with identical profiles and balance points. However, the densities of the wood, and thus the overall bat weights, are very dif-



Fig. 6. 30-inch youth baseball bats, corresponding to data in Table III.

ferent giving rise to noticeable differences in their moments of inertia. This provides a nice contrast to softball bats S1-S4 from Table I for which the differences in moments of inertia were due to differences in balance point, not differences in weight.

Youth bat Y4 is an older aluminum bat that weighs more than wood bat Y3, but because the aluminum bat is hollow, its center of mass is closer to the handle, and the moment of inertia is actually smaller. There are currently no restrictions on weight for youth bats, and bat Y5 represents one of the lightest 30-in youth bats currently available. Bat Y6 is a large diameter (2.75-in instead of 2.5-inch) version of bat Y5. Such large barrel “senior league” bats are used by 13-15 year olds, and the larger barrel size means greater mass and greater moment of inertia.

Table III. A sampling of several 30-inch wood and aluminum youth baseball bats comparing combinations of weight, balance point, and MOI about the 6-inch point on the handle.

Youth Bat	Length (in)	Weight (oz)	BP (in)	MOI ₆ (oz-in ²)
Y1	30	19.7	19.8	5029
Y2	30	22.7	19.9	5800
Y3	30	25.2	19.9	6425
Y4	30	27.2	18.3	6139
Y5	30	17.1	19.4	4420
Y6	30	22.1	19.7	5675

End Loading and Knob Loading a Bat

Recent research has suggested that most players could benefit from using an end-loaded bat, provided that they can still maintain close to the same swing

speed.¹⁰ A growing problem in slow-pitch softball is the illegal doctoring of bats in an attempt to improve performance. One popular method of doctoring a bat is to end-load the bat, by adding mass to the barrel end, which increases the moment of inertia. Provided that players can swing end-loaded bats with the same speed, increasing the moment of inertia can increase the batted-ball speed.¹¹

The opposite approach is used by some coaches to teach young players how to swing the bat with maximum wrist rotation.¹² Table IV shows that a large amount of mass may be added to the knob of a bat without significantly altering the bat’s moment-of-inertia about the 6-inch point on the handle. This surprising result indicates that the shift in balance point offsets the increase in total mass.

Table IV. A significant amount of mass may be added to the knob of a bat with only a slight change in the moment of inertia about the 6-inch point on the handle.

Extra mass added to knob (oz)	Total Bat Mass (oz)	CM (in)	MOI ₆ (oz-in ²)
0.0	26.1	19.9	8118
3.2	29.3	17.5	8145
8.0	34.1	15.0	8445

Suggestions for Further Exploration

1. Measure the mass, balance point, and moment-of-inertia for a variety of baseball and/or softball bats. Use lead tape, or something similar, to add mass at the barrel end and/or at the knob and measure how the balance point and the moment of inertia change. Grip a bat at the handle and how it feels while holding it horizontally (which is sensitive to balance point) and while rotating it rapidly (which is sensitive to moment of inertia).
2. Explore the trend shown in Table IV. Compare how the knob-loaded bat feels to an unmodified bat when rapidly rotating it with the wrists.
3. Start with two identical bats (or uniform rods) and determine where to add mass to each bat so as to produce two bats with the same weight and moment of inertia, but different balance points.

References

1. While SI metric units are preferable for a physics article, the entire baseball and softball community (players, manufacturers, and associations) make exclusive use of inches for length and ounces for weight, and thus these units are used throughout this article. For discussion on the appropriateness of using units other than SI, see R. Romer, "Units—SI only, or Multicultural Diversity," *Am. J. Phys.* **67**(1), 13-16, (1999).
2. R. Cross and R. Bower, "Effects of swing-weight on swing speed and racket power," *J. Sports Sci.* **24**(1), 23-30 (2006).
3. H. Brody, "The moments of inertia of a tennis racket," *Phys. Teach.*, **23**(4), 213-216, (1985).
4. R. M. Greenwald, L. H. Penna, and J. J. Crisco, "Differences in Batted Ball Speed with Wood and Aluminum Bats: A Batting Cage Study," *J. Appl. Biomech.*, **17**, 241-252 (2001).
5. G. Fleisig, N. Zheng, D. Stodden, and J. Andrews, "Relationship between bat mass properties and bat velocity," *Sports Engineering*, **5**(1), 1-8 (2002).
6. K. Koenig, N. Mitchel, T. Hannigan, and J. Clutter, "The influence of moment-of-inertia on baseball/softball bat swing speed," *Sports Engineering*, **7**(2), 105-118 (2004).
7. L. Smith, J. Broker, and A. Nathan, "A Study of Softball Player Swing Speed," in *Sports Dynamics Discovery and Application*, A. Subic, P. Trivailo and R. Alam, eds., pp. 12-17. (RMIT University, Melbourne, 2003).
8. ASTM F2398-04 Standard Test Method for Measuring Moment of Inertia and Center of Percussion of Baseball or Softball Bats. (ASTM International, 2005)
9. Cambridge Physics Outlet (www.cpo.com).
10. A.T. Bahill, "The Ideal Moment of Inertia for a Baseball of Softball Bat," *IEEE Trans. Syst. Man Cybernetics – Part A: Systems & Humans*, **34**(2), 197-204 (2004).
11. C. Cruz, *Characterizing Softball Bat Modifications and Their Resulting Performance Effects*, M.S. Thesis, Washington State University (2005).
12. See, for example, the discussion of hitting mechanics at <http://www.procut.com>, which advocates the use of a heavy weight attached to the knob to help players learn how to develop a more powerful swing and a quick wrist action.

PACS codes: 01.80.+b, 06.30.Dr, 89.20.Bb

Additional Reading

For more information about the physics of baseball and softball bats, visit the author's website:
<http://www.kettering.edu/~drussell/bats.html>.

Dan Russell is an associate professor of applied physics at Kettering University where he teaches all levels of undergraduate physics as well as upper level elective courses in acoustics and vibration. He has been studying the physics and acoustics of baseball and softball bats for the past 10 years and occasionally provides consulting services to manufacturers including Easton, Worth, DeMarini and Louisville Slugger.
drussell@kettering.edu
